arXiv:1207.4884v1 [math.CO] 20 Jul 2012

A short proof for the polyhedrality of the Chvátal–Gomory closure of a compact convex set*†

Gábor Braun[1] and Sebastian Pokutta[2]

[1]Universität Leipzig, Institut für Informatik, PF 100920, 04009 Leipzig, Germany.
Email: gabor.braun@informatik.uni-leipzig.de
[2]Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Department of Mathematics, Office 03.045,
Cauerstrasse 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany. *Email:* sebastian.pokutta@math.uni-erlangen.de

July 23, 2012

Abstract

Recently Schrijver's open problem, whether the Chvátal–Gomory closure of an irrational polytope is polyhedral was answered independently in the affirmative by Dadush et al. [2011] (even for arbitrarily compact convex set) and Dunkel and Schulz [2010]. We present a very short, easily accesible proof for showing that the Chvátal–Gomory closure of a compact convex set is a polytope.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that Chvátal–Gomory closure of a rational polyhedron is a rational polyhedron again (see Schrijver [1980], Chvátal [1973]). As a natural consequence, in Schrijver [1980] the question was raised whether the Chvátal–Gomory closure of an irrational polytope P is polyhedral. This important question was answered in the affirmative independently by Dadush et al. [2011] (even for arbitrarily compact convex set) and Dunkel and Schulz [2010]. We provide a very short, easily accesible proof for the polyhedrality of the Chvátal–Gomory closure of a compact convex set.

While we recycle the main outline of the proof used in either of Dadush et al. [2011] or Dunkel and Schulz [2010] and follow almost identical steps as Dadush et al. [2011], we apply topological arguments in a more direct fashion, which essentially removes all technical intermediate steps. The cornerstone is the quantitative version of the homogeneity lemma in Section 3, from which many crucial properties follow readily. We then proceed with the main proof in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

Recall that the classical Chvátal–Gomory closure K' of a polyhedron or compact convex set K is defined as

$$K' = \bigcap_{\substack{(c,\delta)\in\mathbb{Z}^n\times\mathbb{R},\\ K\subseteq\{cx\leq\delta\}}} \{cx \leq \lfloor\delta\rfloor\}.$$

In the following, we only consider exposed faces and for the sake of brevity we refer to them as *faces*. In other words, $F = K \cap \{\pi x = \pi_0\}$ for some supporting hyperplane $\pi x = \pi_0$, i.e.,

---

[*]Keywords and phrases: Chvátal–Gomory closure, polyhedrality, compactness, convex bodies
[†]*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 90C25; Secondary 90C05, 90C10

$K \subseteq \{\pi x \leq \pi_0\}$, and there exists $x_0 \in K$ with $\pi x_0 = \pi_0$; we will call this face the *π-face of K*. In particular, $F = K$ is allowed if K is lower dimensional. Recall that a compact convex set is uniquely determined by its exposed faces and any face is contained in an exposed one; it therefore suffices to consider those.

Let $\pi^1 := \pi \,/\, \|\pi\|_2$ denote the unit vector in the direction of π, which formalizes the continuity of direction. The next lemma show that compact π-faces change sort of continuously in the direction of π.

Lemma 1. *Let K be a convex set with a compact π-face F. Then for every neighbourhood U of F there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $\left\|\widehat{\pi}^1 - \pi^1\right\|_2 < \varepsilon$, the set K has a $\widehat{\pi}$-face, which is contained completely in U, i.e., the function $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ attains its maximum on K in U.*

Moreover, if K is a polyhedron, then the $\widehat{\pi}$-face is contained in F (in particular, there is no need for U).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that U is compact and convex. Regarding the locations of the maxima, only the direction of $\widehat{\pi}$ matters, so it is enough to prove that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that whenever $\left\|\widehat{\pi}^1 - \pi^1\right\|_2 < \varepsilon$, the function $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ attains its maximum on K in U.

By continuity and compactness of F and $\partial U \cap K$ (the intersection of K with the boundary of U), for all $\widehat{\pi}$ in a neighbourhood of π the function $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ is everywhere greater on F than on $\partial U \cap K$, since this holds for $\widehat{\pi} = \pi$. In particular, $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ attains its maximum on K in U, as it is everywhere smaller on $K \setminus U$ than on F: take arbitrary points $x_0 \in K \setminus U$ and $x_1 \in F$. There is an $x_2 \in \partial U \cap K$ in the line segment $[x_0, x_1]$. As $\widehat{\pi}x_2 < \widehat{\pi}x_1$ we obtain $\widehat{\pi}x_0 < \widehat{\pi}x_1$. This finishes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

For the last part of the lemma, let us choose U to be a polytope. Then $U \cap K$ is also a polytope, and $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ is everywhere larger on the vertices of the π-face than on the other vertices, when the direction of $\widehat{\pi}$ is close to that of π. Hence the $\widehat{\pi}$-face is contained in the π-face, as claimed. ☐

We will use a well-known approximation theorem due to Kronecker. We state a version suitable for our needs, which we derive from Weyl's criterion.

Lemma 2 (Kronecker [1884][Weyl, 1916, Satz 3])**.** *Let $n, N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathbb{Z}^n + \pi\mathbb{Z}_{>N_0}$ contains a dense subset of a non-trivial linear subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}^n + \pi\mathbb{Z}_{>N_0}$ contains points arbitrarily close to 0, i.e., for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N > N_0$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\|a - N\pi\|_2 < \epsilon$.*

Proof. When the coefficients on π together with 1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, this is a special case of Weyl's criterion with $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. We reduce the general case to this one.

First we define V. Let $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ denote the coordinates of π. We can assume without loss of generality that a linear basis of $1, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $1, \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k$.

Thus for $j > k$ there are integers $n_{j,i}$ and n_j such that

$$\pi_j = n_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{j,i}\pi_j, \qquad j > k.$$

In principle we use these as the defining equations of V, i.e., V is defined by

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{j,i}x_j, \qquad j > k.$$

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The following elements lie in V:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e_i} &:= e_i + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} n_{j,i} e_j, && i < k, \\ \widetilde{\pi} &:= \pi - \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} n_j e_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Weyl's criterion, $\mathbb{Z}^k + (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)\mathbb{Z}_{>N_0}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^k$. We reformulate this for V via the projection to the first k coordinates, which is obviously an isomorphism between V and $\mathbb{R}^k$: a dense subset of V is $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}\widetilde{e_i} + \widetilde{\pi}\mathbb{Z}_{>N_0}$, which is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n + \pi\mathbb{Z}_{>N_0}$. This finishes the proof. ☐

3 Homogeneity

In this section we compare K' with the Chvátal–Gomory closure F' of a face F resulting in $K' \cap F = (K \cap F)'$ among others.

Lemma 3 (Homogeneity for compact faces)**.** *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set. Let*

$$F := K \cap \{\pi x = \pi_0\}$$

be a compact π-face of K for some $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\pi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $K \subseteq \{\pi x \leq \pi_0\}$. Assume that F satisfies $cx \leq \delta$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ (and hence F' satisfies $cx \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor$). Then there are finitely many CG cuts of K defining a polyhedron P satisfying $(c + \alpha\pi)x \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor + \alpha\pi_0$ for some $\alpha > 0$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\pi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a small positive number. Choose a small compact neighbourhood U of F such that $cx \leq \delta + \varepsilon$ for $x \in U$.

By Lemma 1, there exists an $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that whenever $\left\| \widehat{\pi}^1 - \pi^1 \right\|_2 < \varepsilon_2$, the function $x \mapsto \widehat{\pi}x$ attains its maximum on K in U. In particular, there is a positive integer N and a small positive number $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, such that for all $m \geq N$ and vector $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\left\| a^1 - (m\pi)^1 \right\|_2 < \varepsilon_2$, it follows that $|(a - m\pi)x| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in U$, and the direction of $c + a$ is close enough to that of $m\pi$ to ensure that $(c + a)x$ attains its maximum on K in U, i.e., via triangle inequality $\left\| (c+a)^1 - (m\pi)^1 \right\|_2 \leq \left\| a^1 - (m\pi)^1 \right\|_2 + \left\| (c+a)^1 - a^1 \right\|_2$ where the first summand is bounded by ε_2 while the second one tends to 0 and the length of a tends to ∞ as N tends to ∞.

Now we choose a finite collection of such pairs (m, a). By Lemma 2 the collection $\mathbb{Z}^n - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}\pi$ contains a dense subset of a linear subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ so we can write 0 as a convex combination of finitely many of them, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i \in [k]} \lambda_i (a_i - m_i\pi) = 0, \qquad \lambda_i > 0, \sum_{i \in [k]} \lambda_i = 1 \tag{1}$$

with some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $m_i \geq N$ satisfying $\|a_i - m_i\pi\|_2 < \varepsilon_2$. To see this, let us choose a simplex in V with inner point 0 and write 0 as a convex combination of the vertices. The coefficients form the unique solution of an independent system of linear equations, and hence depend continuously on the vertices. It follows that replacing each vertex with a nearby one in the dense set $\mathbb{Z}^n - \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N}\pi$, the system remains independent, and the coefficients remain positive, thus leading to the desired m_i, a_i, λ_i.

As a consequence we obtain for all $x \in U \cap K$

$$(c + a_i)x = cx + m_i\pi x + (a_i - m_i\pi)x \leq (\delta + \varepsilon) + m_i\pi_0 + \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

which is also valid for all $x \in K$ as $(c + a)x$ attains its maximum in U. Hence

$$(c + a_i)x \leq \lfloor \delta + m_i\pi_0 + 2\varepsilon \rfloor = \lfloor \delta \rfloor + m_i\pi_0$$

is a CG cut for K for $i \in [k]$.

We let these CG cuts define the polyhedron P. The convex combination of the CG cuts with coefficients λ_i is valid for P, which is exactly the claimed inequality for P

$$(c + \alpha\pi)x \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor + \alpha\pi_0,$$

with $\alpha := \sum_{i\in[k]} \lambda_i m_i > 0$ as (1) can be rewritten to

$$\sum_{i\in[k]} \lambda_i(c + a_i) = c + \underbrace{\sum_{i\in[k]} \lambda_i m_i}_{\alpha} \pi. \qquad \square$$

Remark 1. If we are not interested in the finiteness statement of Lemma 3, then it actually suffices to consider a single normal $c + a_1$. From (2) the proof can then be concluded as follows: For every $x \in K' \cap F$

$$cx = (c + a_1)x + (m_1\pi - a_1)x - m_1\pi_0 \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor + \varepsilon$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Thus $cx \leq \lfloor \delta \rfloor$ is valid for $K' \cap F$.

The main strength of Lemma 3 is producing important inequalities for the Chvátal–Gomory closure coming from faces, as we illustrate in the following corollaries.

Corollary 4. *Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact convex set. Then $K' \subseteq K$ and we have $K' \cap F = F'$ for every face F of K.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 3 to $c = 0$ and $\delta = 0$, we obtain that K' satisfies every inequality $\pi x \leq \pi_0$ satisfied by K.

For a face F, Lemma 3 implies that $K' \cap F$ satisfies the CG cuts defining F', hence $K' \cap F \subseteq F'$. The inclusion in the other direction $F' \subseteq K' \cap F$ is obvious. $\square$

Note that $K' \subseteq K$ alternatively follows with [Dey and Pokutta, 2011, Lemma 2] (see Dadush et al. [2010] for a similar result).

The previous lemma is void for the face $F = K$. However, even the non-proper face restricts K' provided K is lower dimensional:

Corollary 5. *Let K be a compact convex set. Then finitely many CG cuts of K define a polyhedron in a rational affine subspace V with $V \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(K)$.*

Proof. The affine subspace $\operatorname{aff}(K)$ is defined by finitely many equalities $a_i x = b_i$ with $i \in [\ell]$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. These are consequences of finitely many CG cuts via Lemma 3 with $\pi = a_i$, $\pi_0 = b_i$ and $c = 0$, $\delta = 0$. Therefore the polyhedron defined by these CG cuts spans a rational subspace V of $\operatorname{aff}(K)$. $\square$

4 The Chvátal–Gomory closure of a compact convex set

We will now prove the main theorem

Theorem 6. *Let K be a convex compact set. Then K' is a rational polytope defined by finitely many CG cuts of K.*

The proof will proceed via induction on the dimension of K using the following step lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let K be a compact convex set. Let us assume that for every proper face F of K, the CG closure F' is defined by finitely many CG cuts of F (i.e., Theorem 6 holds for F). Then there is a polytope P defined by finitely many CG cuts of K, which is contained in K and coincides with K' on the boundary of K.*

Proof. Let π be a vector of the unit sphere in $\mathrm{aff}(K)$, and let $\pi x \leq \pi_0$ define the associated supporting hyperplane. Now $F := K \cap \{\pi x = \pi_0\}$ is a proper face, the π-face of K, and hence F' is defined by finitely many CG cuts of F by our assumption. By Lemma 3 there are finitely many CG cuts of K defining a polyhedron P_π with π-face F'. The analogous statement holds for P_π and vectors $\widehat{\pi}$ in a neighbourhood U_π of π on the unit sphere, as the $\widehat{\pi}$-face of P_π is that of F' by Lemma 1.

We obtain an open cover of the unit sphere of $\mathrm{aff}(K)$ with neighborhoods U_π so that for each $\widehat{\pi} \in U_\pi$ the $\widehat{\pi}$-face of P_π is that of F' where F is the π-face of K. Since the unit sphere is compact, it follows that finitely many CG cuts define a polyhedron P for which the π-face of P is that of K' for all the unit vectors π in $\mathrm{aff}(K)$.

By Corollary 5, by adding finitely many cuts we obtain a polytope in a rational subspace of $\mathrm{aff}(K)$, which is contained in P. In particular, it lies in K and coincides with K' on its relative boundary. $\square$

Finally, we are ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 6. The proof proceeds via induction on the dimension of K. By the induction hypothesis, the Theorem holds for proper faces of K. From Lemma 7 we know that finitely many CG cuts define a polytope P in K coinciding with K' on the boundary. The polytope P spans a rational subspace V of $\mathrm{aff}(K)$.

Note that the CG cut of a $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ restricted to V depends only on the orthogonal projection of c onto V, hence it is enough to consider the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ onto V. As V is rational, the orthogonal projection D is a discrete subgroup.

We now consider which vectors $c \in D$ produce a CG cut cutting out at least one vertex v of P. As vertices on the boundary of K belong to K', these cannot be cut out. Therefore v has to be contained in the relative interior of K and so does a small ball U around v. Let r denote the radius of U. Now whenever $c \in D$ is long, i.e., $\|c\|_2 \geq 1/r$, we have $\max_{x \in K} cx \geq \max_{x \in U} cx \geq cv + 1$ and hence $cx \leq \lfloor \max_{x \in K} cx \rfloor$ cannot cut off v. As there are only a finite number of vertices v of P, there is a global upper bound on the length of the c which could cut out a vertex in the relative interior of K. As D is discrete, there are only finitely many such vectors and we simply add all cuts arising from those vectors.

All in all, K' is defined by the CG cuts defining P plus finitely many additional CG cuts, as claimed. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Daniel Dadush and Santanu Dey for the helpful comments and discussions.

References

V. Chvátal. Edmonds polytopes and a hierarchy of combinatorial problems. *Discrete Mathematics*, 4:305–337, 1973.

D. Dadush, S.S. Dey, and J.P. Vielma. On the Chvatal-Gomory Closure of a Compact Convex Set. *Proceedings of IPCO, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2011.

D. Dadush, S. S. Dey, and J. P. Vielma. The Chvátal-Gomory Closure of Strictly Convex Body. `http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_HTML/2010/05/2608.html`, 2010.

S.S. Dey and S. Pokutta. Design and verify: a new scheme for generating cutting-planes. *Proceedings of IPCO, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 6655:143–155, 2011.

J. Dunkel and A.S. Schulz. The Gomory-Chvatal closure of a non-rational polytope is a rational polytope. `http://www.optimization-online.org/DB_HTML/2010/11/2803.html`, 2010.

L. Kronecker. Näherungsweise ganzzahlige Auflösung linearer Gleichungen. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, pages 1179–1193; 1271–1299, 1884.

A. Schrijver. On cutting planes. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 9:291–296, 1980.

H. Weyl. Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. *Math. Ann.*, 77(3):313–352, 1916.